



**SPECIAL COMMON COUNCIL MEETING**  
**JULY 1, 2013**  
**6 P.M.**

**Special Meeting**

The Special meeting of the Common Council of the City of Middletown was held in the Council Chamber of the Municipal Building on Monday, August 5, 2013 at 6 p.m.

**Present**

Mayor Daniel T. Drew, Deputy Mayor Robert P. Santangelo and Council Members Thomas J. Serra, Ronald P. Klattenberg, Mary A. Bartolotta, Gerald E. Daley, Hope Kasper, Grady L. Faulkner, Jr., Philip J. Pessina, Joseph E. Bibisi, Linda Salafia, Todd G. Berch, and Deborah Kleckowski; Corporation Counsel Daniel B. Ryan; Sergeant-at-arms Police Chief William McKenna, and Common Council Clerk Marie O. Norwood.

**Absent**

Council Members Hope P. Kasper and Deborah A. Kleckowski.

**Also Present**

Public Works Director William russo, Finance Director Carl Erlacher, Director of IT William Oliver, Planning, Conservation, and Development Director William Warner, Water and Sewer Director Guy Russo, Parking Director Geen Thazhampallath, City Attorney Brig Smith, Acting Personnel Director Kathy Morey, Deputy Chief of Police Michael Timbro, Acting Parks and Recreation Director Deb Stanley, Tax Assessor Damon Braasch, Fire Chief Gary Ouellette, Director of Communications Wayne Bartolotta, Director of Human Relations Faith Jackson, Director of Health Joseph Havlicek, MD, Arts Coordinator Stephan Allison, City and Town Clerk Sandra Driska, Emergency Management Director Bruce Driska, Russell Library Director Arthur Meyers, Youth Services Coordinator Justin Carbonella Joseph Samolis Administrative Aide to the Mayor and 2 members of the public.

**Meeting Called to Order**

The Acting Chair, Deputy Mayor Robert P. Santangelo calls the meeting to order at 6:05 p.m. He asks Councilman Serra to lead the public in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**Call of Meeting Read**

The Call of the meeting is read and accepted. The Acting Chair declares this call a legal call and the meeting a legal meeting.

**Workshop Opens**

Councilman Berch is recognized and asks to speak with the City Attorney. He states regarding 11H, approving the lease for Greenbelt, is this a City asset. Attorney Smith responds yes. Councilman Berch asks about the lease for medical marijuana, the entity wishing to come here today, about a secure production facility. They are asking for a safe; do we have purview if the City is in compliance.

**Noted for the Record**

Councilman Daley takes his seat at 6:04 p.m.

Attorney Smith responds with regulations, no; but we can say if we are comfortable with the use itself and they have that assurance. My own history from Lansing, MI is comprehensive for medical marijuana. Connecticut took a different approach. It is a production facility and not dispensary. The experience is hue and cry about this and concern about the dispensaries and it turns out they were the safest place you could be. I suspect it will be the same experience here, even more robustly because of the security plan they are going to use. CT is doing it a better way and Middletown has many more assurances than Lansing did. Councilman Berch states the Council as the legislative body, we protect its assets.

**Noted for the Record**

Councilwoman Salafia takes her seat at 6:06 p.m.

Councilman Berch asks, in your opinion, has the end user given sufficient evidence it is safe and their distribution is safe; it will be like a safe and ATM. Attorney Smith responds yes; like a Briggs truck and medical marijuana. This would be one of three production facilities in CT and it requires posting \$2 million up front and a letter of credit. These folks have to put this up and they are legitimate and we are comfortable with it.

Councilman Berch states there have been concerns in the regulations the State has sent down and he reads from them. The current tenants of the building owned by the City and what is their feelings having potentially a medical marijuana site. Attorney Smith states he will defer to Bill Warner, Director of Planning. He is not aware of anything. Councilman Berch asks if they have legal standing. Attorney Smith responds legally no. Bill Warner comes forward to clarify and states none of the leases give them a say who the City rents to and he has not heard anything from them or the public. Councilman Berch raised the issue of civil forfeiture. Basically this Council protects the assets of the City; civil forfeiture, this is an illegal substance, but legal in CT and the question he is posing, most of the places that have been forfeited the US State's Attorney has written a letter prior. It states they will cease and desist; if they get this letter will it be in the lease and that it be complied with, but continue to pay the lease until things are taken care of. Attorney Smith states yes; that will be in the lease

and the lease will continue. The civil forfeiture laws are broad and there is theoretical exposure. He explains what they did in Lansing. DOJ is not looking for places like Middletown, but those looking to make a quick buck. Councilman Berch states that is why I want to make sure we are held harmless if the resolution passes; theoretically they could take the entire building. Attorney Smith replies odds to cease the entire building would be low and they will ensure the lease covers that contingency.

The Acting Chair asks for other questions to Directors. Councilman Bibisi asks in Attorney Smith's experience, would the federal government not recognize medical marijuana, does this put any grants in jeopardy. Attorney Smith replies not in my experience. Even the DOJ grants are held harmless. There was a famous memo called the Ogden Memo and it basically said, to U.S. Attorneys, you are on your own here. Enforcement is not a priority right now. We expect to receive more on the Ogden Memo, but we will not see a change until an administration change. Councilman Bibisi asks for Director Warner on the same subject. He asks Mr. Warner to explain what Greenbelt plans to do with the transportation mode. Mr. Warner responds they will build a building within the building. It is the second story and will need its own freight lift and they will do it on the back of the building so a truck backs in and they will back in, the door closes and they fill the truck. Councilman Bibisi states like a sally port for the police. Mr. Warner replies yes. They are concerned about the tenants and they are raising the concerns and they think there is an advantage using the building because they are revitalizing a Brownsfield building. Councilman Bibisi asks what improvements they will do and what they will pay. Mr. Warner replies they will make improvements, but they have not discussed rent, but it will be at least \$5 a square foot or \$75,000 for the area they will use per year.

#### **Noted for the Record**

Councilman Faulkner takes his seat at 6:20 p.m.

Councilman Pessina states in reviewing the write up you sent, but I understand the Chief of Police is an integral part of this and will inspect it. Mr. Warner responds he will review the security measures that have to go in. Councilman Pessina states they will dance to him. Mr. Warner responds yes; to reflect the resolution. Councilman Pessina asks if there will be a follow-up inspection on the security to make sure everything is kept up. Mr. Warner states it is licensed by Consumer Protection and they will do the inspection. The State regulations limit our ability to get into their area and it would be difficult for us to do routine inspections. Councilman Pessina states back to the tenants; how did your office determine that 90% occupancy rate that there was no problem with the renters in there now. Mr. Warner states he didn't reach out and he doesn't do it with any of his tenants. It is the discretion of the landlord. People might have had concerns about the eels or the breweries; we have over 20 tenants. I have not heard from anyone. Councilman Pessina states this is an extraordinary tenant and might impact safety when they are going out in the evening. My concern is that do the residents and tenants feel safe at night. This is a distribution of marijuana and you can't compare it to any other tenant. Due diligence, you should have met with the tenants. You want them to feel safe. Mr. Warner states it is highly regulated on the second floor and you will probably not know it is there. At night it is well lit. It is a secluded place.

#### **Noted for the Record**

Councilwoman Bartolotta takes her seat at 6:21 p.m.

He continues, the tenants are there during the day. If it is highly regulated and highly secure, they will put up cameras, just as we are. You might feel safer there because of those additions. Councilman Pessina asks about 5 towns vying for these locations; if it happens, when would we hear they were selected. Mr. Warner states they have had hearings on this and they have to approve the regulations and then a request for proposals. West Haven had approved one already of the five. There is an appeal period after the regulations are approved and this probably won't occur until 2014. Councilman Pessina states during this process our residents can come before the Council and give their opinions if they want that. Mr. Warner responds no; if you approve this tonight we are entering an option to lease to them. They are putting up a lot of money to renovate the property. We will come up with an option and it will move forward. Councilman Pessina states the residual will be on the Police and Chief McKenna. Mr. Warner responds yes. The police will do that. Councilman Pessina states that is a big weight the Chief will have to take. Councilman Pessina states the nice thing about Remington Rand, three sides surround it by wetlands and one by the landfill. There have only be two crimes in the last 13 years. I think it is easy to secure and the Chief will do a good job to secure the facility.

Councilman Faulkner asks on agenda item 11F, the two houses. Have those houses come under blight. Mr. Warner responds the departments have tried, but the State is exempt and they ignore our orders. Councilman Faulkner states we have sent orders. Mr. Warner responds Dennis Murray (Health Department) has sent orders and they have been ignored. Councilman Faulkner states there is \$1,000 impact for the State administrative costs; there is no demolition costs or remediation costs. Mr. Warner states they discussed it at EDC and if they go with demolition, the oil tanks are in the basement which helps and there is lead and asbestos. It will cost \$70,000 to demolish. They would approach Habitat for Humanity and see if there is interest. Public Works will take out the items in the house, bush hog, and board it up. The thought is take ownership, clean them up and if habitat is interested, we would go back to the legislature and have them amend the bill to give them to Habitat. Councilman Faulkner asks what they were used as before. Mr. Warner responds as two, single family homes for employees of Long Lane.

Councilman Serra asks for clarification; this is a production facility, not dispensing. Mr. Warner responds yes, production only. They are growing it and packaging it and sending it out. Mr. Warner states for production they are licensing three, but five are interested. In Middletown, you have to be a licensed pharmacist and have a pharmacy license and that is not occurring in that area.

Councilwoman Bartolotta asks about the clean-up of the houses; is there a time frame after cleaning them up and will you continue to clean them up. Mr. Warner responds we would own them and we would have to continue to clean them until they city decides what to do. I know habitat is interested in them. Mb asks the cost to tear them down. Bill states one of the houses looks nice, but it has extensive lead paint. You could get them done for 100,000 for both.

Councilman Klattenberg asks how many jobs will this represent for Middletown. Mr. Warner states initial estimate was 10 and maybe if production ramps up, it would be 30. Councilman Klattenberg states the potential is up to 30 jobs. He asks if that would be in the agreement that City employees would be working there. Mr. Warner states they can talk to them about it. Even the employees need extensive background checks.

Councilman Berch asks Mr. Warner with regard to security, in the regulations is an unbelievable minimum requirement and he reads it. This is basically stronger than a bank by minimum requirement. He asks if there has to be security cameras around the entire building. Mr. Warner states there is only one access and we will ask them to access the area and they are talking about optical scanners.

Councilman Serra asks for the Finance Director and Carl Erlacher comes forward. Councilman Serra states relative to the process of Labor Management and the 12 items on the agenda and he asks if he was involved. Mr. Erlacher states he wasn't. Councilman Serra asks in the past how many have we accommodated. Mr. Erlacher states in the past there were 10 reviews every six months and the new agreement is 20 every year. You will have them all in one shot. He states he wasn't involved last year. He states it was every six months and ten people are reviewed. Twenty-five were reviewed and only 20 are on the agenda. Councilman Serra states the funding, is it all in essence general fund. Mr. Erlacher states it is \$62,000 totally and out of that \$22,000 belongs to the Parking Department and the Department must return \$450,000 above their expenditures. If those \$22,000 added to the salary that will be \$22,000 more to give back to the general fund. It will be less in their fund balance. As far as the Board of Education, \$23,000 would have to come from their budget and it will not require an additional request and they have been signed off by someone at the Board of Education so they have funds set aside for this. The City side it is roughly \$16,000 or \$17,000 of upgrades under your purview. Councilman Serra states five individuals took how much time to look at the presentations. Mr. Erlacher states 25 presentations and did it over a two day cycle and we bickered back and forth and talked about these and came up with our recommendations. Mr. Erlacher states some were defeated unanimously, some passed unanimously and some were passed 3 to 2. They met at least one or two additional occasions to decipher them.

Councilman Klattenberg states he has questions for Kathy Morey as well. He states when I looked at these requests and job descriptions, what was the threshold you were using to make a recommendation for an increase in salaries. Mr. Erlacher states he will have to defer to Kathy and some were run through Maximus study and there might be one or two with job changes but not salary and some job descriptions were so different it had to go through Maximus. Councilman Klattenberg states some of the job description changes you highlighted and when there is a change it is in bold and underlined. Some job descriptions have those and some do not and you are asking the Council, whatever the increase is, it is not clear in this resolution. But assuming there is a bump in salary but no change in the job description, how am I to judge that.

Kathy Morey, Director of Human Resources states all job descriptions submitted had changes noted in bold. Councilman Klattenberg goes to the Admin Sec. II and there is not one change. Ms Morey states in those cases Admin Sec III, one in Parking and one in facilities at the Board of Education; there were changes in the job responsibilities from the current job description and were more reflective of the Admin III which is already in existence. Those duties were adequately reflected in the existing Admin Sec III. Councilman Klattenberg states the Admin Sec III job can be eliminated. Ms Morey discusses the parking sec. goes to Admin Sec III. Councilman Klattenberg states that explains the job description has no adjustments. In other case, the Board of Education plumber, there are repairs to school kitchens and school plumbing positions and this is a plumbing position. Ms Morey states her understanding the plumber did not perform those duties in kitchens and there are changes in how fat and grease are disposed of and while it appears minor changes to the job description, regulations to be followed warranted an upgrade. Councilman Klattenberg states when you get to these discussions about changing a salary grade what is the litmus test to warrant the increase. Ms Morey responds data utilization, interaction, increases in equipment knowledge and utilization and changes in the regulations. Councilman Klattenberg states supervisory responsibilities warrants reconsideration. Ms Morey responds yes. Councilman Klattenberg states those rejected, why were they. Ms Morey responds the ones rejected, the committee felt there were not enough changes in the job duties and there weren't any changes that were warranted. It is more work doing it in the exact same way. We had dedicated employees come forward with recommendations from supervisors and managers and there is no way to reward someone who is exceptional who takes on extra projects and quite a few were in that situation and in our system, there is no way to upgrade. There were other people who had come before us and came back and there still wasn't enough changes in the job to warrant the upgrade. Councilman Klattenberg states he would look for the same criteria, added responsibility and broadening the scope and some employees do such a great job and are dedicated and you want to reward them. Are the employees who are rejected, were they informed. Ms Morey informed by union president; the process started later and they were notified and they were notified prior to being presented in PRC and more by union reps and e-mails. Councilman Klattenberg asks if personnel voted positively. Ms Morey responds she supports all the recommendations.

Councilman Daley asks he wants to confirm that these changes reflect the duties and responsibilities the employees are carrying out now; this reflects what they are doing and have been doing it. Councilman Daley asks what is the process to verify this. Ms Morey responds Department heads sign off and make notations to correct what the employee submitted and she has not heard any negatives and there was just discussion with the Board of Education on their employees and she presented information of the duties she was doing. Councilman Daley states the employee presents the duties, department head verifies, then it comes to you. Ms Morey states yes and then she verifies the information and contacts the union and management and then they schedule; they agree with the neutral participants. Councilman Daley states evaluating the jobs and the changes, do you utilize the Maximus factor system. Ms Morey responds I do. Councilman Daley states you evaluated them and you present the finding to the committee. Ms Morey responds yes. Councilman Daley states for each job the proposed salary grade corresponds to the grade it should be assigned based on Maximus and then you assign a step which is determined by the current place they are. Ms Morey states yes.

Councilman Santangelo states we have five minutes before the next meeting. Councilwoman Salafia states she has questions for this director.

The Chair states Councilman Pessina is next; he asks Ms Morey, I sense your frustration with the present system and did read that we are looking at a new classification to replace Maximus. Ms Morey responds yes. Councilman Pessina states you will be looking at avenues to recognize good employees. Ms Morey responds she thinks that is just part of the civil service and collective bargaining. She is supportive of venturing out to see what is out there and looking at another reclassification plan. It has been 13 years and we have been getting more requests for upgrades. I also felt when I was reviewing the positions through the Archer system, is that there was concerns with regard to data utilization. The technology has changed and just because we get new software, people expect changes to descriptions. Councilman Pessina states he would like clarification of Councilman Klattenberg's question. Was PRC (Personnel Review Committee), did they have the same feeling as your committee; was this unanimous from PRC. Councilwoman Bartolotta states it did not get reviewed because of some misinformation given to PRC and in her opinion it should go back to PRC for review.

#### **Motion to Recess**

The Acting Chair asks for a recess to call the regular meeting to order; they will then recess that meeting and return to questions to directors. Councilman Serra moves to recess and Councilman Berch seconds the motion. Without objection, the recess is called at 6:58 p.m.

#### **Meeting Reconvenes**

The Chair calls the meeting to order at 7:11 p.m.

#### **Noted for the Record**

Corporation Counsel Daniel Ryan is in his seat at 7:11 p.m.

Councilman Serra asks to address questions to William Russo, Director of Public Works relative to 11J, the merger; at Finance there was some conflict on one part of the jobs and you need to start the process relative to the Maintainer III and not being funded. Mr. Russo states he would defer to the City Attorney and there is correspondence with the union. General Counsel comes forward. Councilman Serra states relative to the questions of changing the structure and the Maintainer III and there was confusion of money. Attorney Smith states they have been in touch with staff representatives and they have a draft MOU and expect it to be finalized if the merger moves forward. Councilman Serra states as discussed with Director Russo said, is it correct. Councilman Serra states any individuals and departments these are the reports; they are specific and detailed. They were requested and you get an A. The information is there. If you would give a quick overview of this so we can understand if parks moves to Public Works. Mr. Russo states the goals we were given by the Mayor; it was straight forward. We need people on the road and in the parks and we have some retirements. We studied the budgets and put a structure together and met with acting Superintendent of Parks and asked him what his needs are. His first answer is full certification and he presently is the only one with the certification that will work Saturdays. It is difficult with them to have one certified individual that responds. He asked what would make it work efficiently. He said Maintainer III and if he had a third and train them with certification and salary grade 8. Councilman Serra states the money is funding from one of the positions and upgrading two individuals. Mr. Russo met and the pay grade 10 would be an 8 and two individuals would increase to 8 and the money is there. Councilman Serra states you would have a Supervisor for Parks, Sanitation, Streets and highways so you would have similar structure. The money is there to do this.

Councilman Berch states you sent a memo to the Mayor and Council regarding the FEMA reimbursement and can you reiterate the amounts. Mr. Russo states he wanted staff to be recognized and over the four events it cost over \$1.7 million and it started with Bob Dobmeier and we have recovered \$1.25 million. A lot goes into the applications and they should be recognized because of the dollar amount we will be receiving. Councilman Berch states the committee could not believe the work the employees did for the City. Councilman Berch asks with regard to the snow blower you are asking for; can you explain it. Mr. Russo states they were going to get a couple of pickup trucks and one of Mr. Emanuele's ideas was down on the land fill we had a track machine and when it was replaced, he said it should be a rubber tire one to be used on streets and we have truck and this blower will give us three and we have a machine that we can retrofit for this. As part of the plan we will also have contractors bid snow blowing work so we can get contractors in to assist us. These things work and they are about the only thing that does work. Councilman Berch states at the meeting we had and concern in the community and part of it was to go forward with the snow blower and the bidding for snow removal. It is a concern of constituents and you feel comfortable this will take care of the problem with snow removal. Mr. Russo definitely. You were part of those meetings. Councilman Berch thanks Mr. Emanuele for his work and staying through this year.

Councilman Serra states when you are contracting, make sure we are first because they have other contracts and want them to clear our City first.

Councilman Pessina asks Mr. Russo, the condition of the parks and the equipment they have is good, but they are limited because they don't have the people; what are your goals for them. Mr. Russo states we studied them closely and the budget you approved for this July, it was fully funded and in his talks with them, he let them know it will be their job to work on the fields and it will be up to us to get them what they need. The best part is when we build the budgets, we will have them in from day one and watch it from day one, from CNR and employees and will watch with Vets Park. Councilman Pessina states the other parks as well; there are some areas that need to be supported to grow and need to be in better condition. Whoever gets that role, they need to keep their eye on the parks. They need support and hopes you will give them support. Mr. Russo states it will be a lot easier to do it now.

The Chair respectfully asks that question be succinct and we have a long agenda. Councilman Klattenberg is recognized and states the report prepared about the merger it is great. It answers all the questions and puts it together in one succinct package. The question he brought up was open space. Over the years, we talk about parks and what the parks are and it is the fields. What ends up happening because of the limited staff and high

use of the fields, but will there be more opportunity for applying resources to maintain the open spaces we have especially the trails. Mr. Russo responds we are analyzing everything. Public Works is involved with open space. We are studying the walking trails, grass cutting and we will grasp that and present to the Council the funding we will need.

Councilwoman Bartolotta asks to address questions to the Chief of Police. William McKenna comes forward. She states her question is about the medical marijuana. She reads from the resolution. I know from comments earlier, you are involved in the process. Chief McKenna states he is not, but he will be involved in the process. He understands a lot of emphasis will be on security and he looks forward to being part of the process. Councilwoman Bartolotta states her concerns is the discussion of how we will enforce it and it would be through the police and how would it work for you. Mr. McKenna states they have shifts and the day shift should be easier and that should go through the evening as well to do property checks. Do I see a major impact; he states not what he sees so far. Councilwoman Bartolotta asks about the area and the recent events and what is the crime rate in the area. Chief McKenna states outside the unfortunate situation that just occurred, it was a year ago and the recent one, it has been pretty quiet. They do property checks and we call in some times. I know some discussions have taken place recently and would the city be willing putting up cameras and the Mayor was receptive. It is a City property and the Parking Authority and Bill Warner and it is a discussion that should take place in the near future. There is capability to have more tenants. He will push for that. It is a significant building and with help of IT and the Parking Authority who have shown they can put in camera systems in the City, it should be discussed. Councilwoman Bartolotta states this won't impact for additional money to patrol. Chief McKenna states other than property checks which we do for many buildings, but he doesn't see the need for hiring an additional officer. That is one of our districts. It won't hamper us.

The Chair asks for other questions to the Chief. Councilman Faulkner asks for Personnel. The Chair states perhaps Attorney Smith can respond. Councilman Faulkner states he gets concerns with the personnel changes and there was a change made and how we the management committee items come before and now it is 20 per year as opposed to 10 every six months. Attorney Smith states it is from the bargaining union. When we approved the labor contract, they already had changes. Attorney Smith states through the Mayor's Office they had permission to look at 24. Councilman Faulkner states it is ridiculous. His other question about the process and the individuals and staff and doing things out of their scope and telling someone not to. Attorney Smith states his experience is any reclassification is based on what you are doing now and if something has to be done, you pick up more and if it is a different kind of task and not more of the same, reclassification will occur. Councilman Faulkner states we can't do that during normal business. Attorney Smith gives an example. Councilman Faulkner states we need to do something about this. Attorney Smith states part of the recommendation is looking at a new study. Councilman Faulkner asks if they will look at this; Attorney Smith responds a new study would address those concerns.

Councilman Serra states 11M relative to the lease to Board of Education, can you make them aware why this is happening and why it comes to us. Attorney Smith responds Dr. Charles is in attendance. The Board had scores of contracts and came and said they should consolidate and how do you finance the consolidation. Once you do that you have to finance the copiers and there is a company De Lage Landen financing and in order to function, the City is a tax exempt structure to do this. The agreement is the Board of Education and it is a lot of machines and can't you put the board on this but they said no. What he was able to do was to agree that we can assign and lease the agreement to the Board so long as there is a letter, it does not jeopardize the tax exempt status. He will get the opinion letter; the city will sign the lease and sublease from to the Board of Education with the opinion letter. Councilman Serra states we are just passing through. Attorney Smith states we are the middleman and not on the hook. Councilman Serra states it is efficiency and savings. Attorney Smith responds yes. It is nice to see the cooperation between the Board of Education and the City.

Councilman Daley states his question is about the copier lease; I don't understand why you need private financing. Carl Erlacher comes forward. He states we could bond but looking at the numbers it would be a referendum question and the opportunity to bring in copiers as the lease expired, it would be a large amount at this point in time and they save \$6,000 per year. Councilman Daley asks about bonding. Mr. Erlacher states we borrowed at 1.65%, but take into consideration the bond premium and actually the rate will be over 5% per year; that would not be a savings. Councilman Daley states the financing outfit, they have to be making something on this deal and I don't understand why we are doing this; we have referendums that is why we go so the public weighs in on this. Mr. Erlacher states our charge was to work out the financing. Councilman Daley states do we know how much they are making on this deal. Dr. Charles comes forward. I don't know how much they are making on this deal. Councilman Daley states that is trouble. You are going in the right direction to upgrade the copiers, but I am troubled by private financing. Dr. Charles states the purpose was to save money. Councilman Daley states had you worked with the City instead of De Lage Landen, you could save even more. Dr. Charles states the Board had individual contracts and A & A would consolidate them. Dr. Charles states they worked with De Lage Landen and states when they put it together it got to that threshold of bonding.

#### **Motion to Adjourn**

The Chair asks if there are any further questions to directors; hearing none he asks for a motion to adjourn. Councilman Daley moves to adjourn and his motion is seconded by Councilman Santangelo. The vote is called and it is unanimous and the Chair declares the meeting adjourned at 7:46 p.m.

ATTEST:

MARIE O NORWOOD  
Common Council Clerk